



**Report of the Convener of the Community Asset Scrutiny Inquiry Panel  
17 June 2024**

**Community Asset Transfer Scrutiny Inquiry  
Roundtable Meeting with Stakeholders**

<b>Purpose:</b>	To look at views and experiences of Stakeholders with regard to Community Asset Transfer in Swansea
<b>Content:</b>	Details about the meeting and who has agreed to attend
<b>Councillors are being asked to:</b>	Consider the discussion as part of the Panels inquiry into Community Asset Transfer.
<b>Lead Councillor:</b>	Councillor Stuart Rice, Convener of the Community Assets Scrutiny Inquiry Panel
<b>Lead Officer &amp; Report Author:</b>	Michelle Roberts, Scrutiny Officer E-mail: <a href="mailto:michelle.roberts@swansea.gov.uk">michelle.roberts@swansea.gov.uk</a>

**1. Background**

1.1 A cross section of stakeholders who have been through the Community Asset Transfer progress were contacted. The following were invited to attend the meeting or provide a written submission:

- **Coed Gwillim** (Mr Rooke)
- **Mumbles Skate Park** (Mr P Beynon)
- **Penlan AFC** (Mr A Elliott)
- **Swansea Council for Voluntary Service** (Mr Winspear)
- **Swansea Tennis Centre** (Mr L Jones and Ms C Richards) – transferred under wellbeing powers rather than CAT but useful example.
- **Underhill Park** (Mr M Podbielski unable to attend has sent a written submission, see Appendix A)
- **Llanrhidian Higher Community Council** (for written submission see Appendix A)

1.2 They have been asked to consider:

- a. What went well?
- b. What was most challenging?
- c. What risks and barriers did you face? How did you overcome or mitigate them?

- d. What guidance, advice and support were you given? Including in relation to funding and applying for grants?
- e. What have been the main community benefits to the transfer you have found since?
- f. Have there been any particular disadvantages or challenges found since the transfer?
- g. What consultation did you (or was) carried out with regard to the transfer?
- h. Do you think the asset transfer process could be improved, if so, how?

### **3. Financial Implications**

- 3.1 There are no specific legal implications raised in this report.

### **4. Legal Implications**

- 3.1 There are no specific legal implications raised by this report.

### **Appendices:**

Appendix A – Written submissions received

**Background papers:** None